Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation **Environmental Assessment**

Operator: Slawson Exploration Company, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Scoundrel 1-8H
Location: SE SE Section 8 T23N R53E
County: Richland, MT; Field (or Wildcat) Wildcat
Air Quality
(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No. 25-35 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): <u>Triple derrick rig 900 HP. Drilling to</u>
13,704'MD/9,386'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight
In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-
<u>211.</u>
Mitigation:
X Air quality permit (AQB review)
Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
Special equipment/procedures requirements
Other:
Comments: Single lateral, 13,704'MD/9,386'TVD.
Water Quality
(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes intermediate string casing hole will be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids.
Oil based invert drilling fluids for horizontal leg. Surface casing hole to be drilled with freshwater and
<u>freshwater mud.</u>
High water table: No high water table expected.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral tributary drainage to
East Redwater Creek, about 1/8 of a mile to the southwest from this location.
Water well contamination: No, closest nearby wells are about 1 mile and further from this location.
Depth of these wells are 200' and less in depth. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and surface
casing will be cemented to surface from 1500'.
Porous/permeable soils: No. silty sand clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.
Mitigation:
X Lined reserve pit
\underline{X} Adequate surface casing
Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
Closed mud system
Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
Other:
Comments: 1500' surface casing to be set to protect freshwater zones and to cover the Fox Hills
aquifer. Adequate surface casing and BOP equipment to prevent problems in and around freshwater
slough.
Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.						
High erosion potential: Yes, location will require moderate cut, up to 20.2' and small fill, up to 6.2',						
<u>required.</u>						
Loss of soil productivity: _None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive						
unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.						
Unusually large wellsite: No, very large well site 450'X420' Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is grassland. Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight						
			Mitigation			
			Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)			
Exception location requested						
X Stockpile topsoil						
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)						
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive						
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation						
Other						
Comments: Access will be over existing county road, #313 and existing lease road. An access road						
will be built into location off the existing lease road, about 114' new road will be built into this location.						
Cuttings will be buried in the lined reserve pit. Oil based invert drilling fluids will be recycled.						
Completion fluids will be hauled to a Class II disposal. Pit will be allowed to dry before being backfilled.						
No concerns.						
Health Hazards/Noise						
(possible concerns)						
Proximity to public facilities/residences: <u>Closest residences are 1 mile or further in any direction from</u>						
this location.						
Possibility of H2S: Slight Sight Sig						
Size of rig/length of drilling time <u>Triple drilling rig 25 to 35 days drilling time.</u>						
Mitigation:						
_X Proper BOP equipment						
Topographic sound barriers						
H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan						
Special equipment/procedures requirements						
Other:						
Comments:Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should						
mitigate any problems. Distance sufficient to mitigate noise problems.						
XX/1 11/6 /						
Wildlife/recreation						
(possible concerns) Provincia to concitive wildlife concer (DEWD identified). None identified						
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.						
Proximity to recreation sites: None identified Creation of new access to wildlife habitat No						
Conflict with game range/refuge management No						
Threatened or endangered Species Threatened or endangered species listed in Richland county by USFW						
service are Pallid Sturgeon, Piping Plover, Interior Lease Tern and Whooping Crane.						
Mitigation:						
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)						
Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)						
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite						
Other: Comments: Private grasslands. No concerns.						
Comments i iivate grassianus. No concerns.						

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological (possible concerns) Proximity to known sites None identified Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) X other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies) Other: Comments: Private grasslands. No concerns.
Social/Economic (possible concerns) Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services Population increase or relocation Comments: No concerns
Remarks or Special Concerns for this site A single lateral Bakken horizontal well, 13,704'MD/9,386'TVD. Suppose Figure 1 A Suppose 1 A S
Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects _No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.
I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ <u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ <u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Prepared by (BOGC):Steven Sasaki (title:)Chief Field Inspector Date:July 24, 2010 Other Persons Contacted:
(Name and Agency) Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website. (subject discussed) Water wells in Richland County (date) July 24, 2010 US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website (Name and Agency)

ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County (subject discussed)

July 24, 2010	
(date)	
If location was inspected before permit ap	proval:
Inspection date:	•
Inspector:	
Others present during inspection:	